

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/614,276	(	07/12/2000	Wolfgang Theimer	473-009548-US(PAR)	2128
2512	7590	04/22/2003			
PERMAN		N	EXAMINER		
425 POST I		22.4		WOO, ISAAC M	
FAIRFIELI	), CI 068				
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2172	_
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	9
			•		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advison, Action	09/614,276	THEIMER ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Isaac M Woo	2172	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of the er: (1) a timely filed amendment ppeal (with appeal fee); or (	is application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in	n
PERIOD FOR	R REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The specified is the date for purposes of determining the period of 037 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set ter than SIX MONTHS from the mail NAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH he date on which the petition under 3 extension and the corresponding am tened statutory period for reply origin	ing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEI 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension for the fee. The appropriate extension feally set in the final Office action; or (2) as se	P on fee ee under et forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			·
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require f	urther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ion in better form for appea	by materially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d)  they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.	,
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amen	dment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because	st for reconsideration has been	en considered but does NOT plac	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed S	SOLELY to issues which were new	ly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim	ment(s) a)⊠ will not be ente ns would be rejected is provi	red or b)⊡ will be entered and an ded below or appended.	I
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-7 and 9-18.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
10. Other:		Lalam	•
		SHAHID AL ALAM PATENT EXAMINER	





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 18, lines 1-20, recites additional limitations in comparing to the finally rejected claim 18: "based on confidence value, determining data records that match the identified terms as well as probability values assigned thereto, wherein each of said probability value is calculated for each stored data record as ratio of the number of times this data record has been used during a certain period in the recent past to the total number of times all the data records have been used during this certain period so as to describe the probability of the data record being used again".

Thus, they require further consideration and search.